Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/890,596	VAN ELSAS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Emmanuel Coffy	2157
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: prosecution pending
(1) Emmanuel Coffy.	(3)	
(2) <u>Peter L. Michaelson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 May 2005	Time: <u>1:00 P.M.</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1-4		
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A	•	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance</li> </ul>	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
	•	
,		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was contacted to ascertain which document constitutes the specification and which set of claims should be examined for there are more than one set of claims. It was agreed that the latest documentation filed on February 11, 2002 and the set of claims labeled substitute will be examined.